

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/722,267	11/25/2003	Paul Joseph O'Dea	137991 (553-1063US)	8624	
45436 DEAN D. SM.	7590 06/21/201 ALI	EXAM	EXAMINER		
THE SMALL PATENT LAW GROUP LLP 225 S. MERAMEC, STE. 725T ST. LOUIS, MO 63105			COBANOGLU, DILEK B		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3626		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/21/2010	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Docket@splglaw.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/722,267		O'DEA ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	DILEK B. COBANOGLU	3626		

•	LAUIIIIICI	Aironic	1					
	DILEK B. COBANOGLU	3626						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 01 June 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.						
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (2) a Notice of Application (3) and (4) application (4) appli	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.							
b) M The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailling date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailling date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as					
The Notice of Appeal was filed on	lianas with 27 CER 41 27 must be 4	Elad within two wanth	a of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I</li> </ol>			cause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		E below);						
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> </ul>		lucing or simplifying t	he issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.						
NOTE: Claim 25 is new. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .								
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-24</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
No. In the request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:     See continuation sheet.								
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).								
13. Other:								
<del></del>								
	/Dilek B Cobanoglu/ Examiner, Art Unit 3626							

Continuation of 11: Applicant argues that McMorrow does not teach "generating separate accounts" (Remarks, page 6); Examiner respectfully submits that amended claim 1 recites "separate outputs", it is unclear if the Applicant meant "outputs" or "accounts". Nevertheless, Examiner respectfully submits that the amendments filed after the final action are not entered, and Applicant appears to rely on features that are not entered as of the present communication.